

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/757,364	CHAN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	John T. Haran	1733	

All Participants:

(1) John T. Haran.

(2) David Schnapf.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 3 January 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

N/A

Prior art documents discussed:

U.S. Patent 6,518,096


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner called to indicate that a statement indicating that the present invention and U.S. Patent 6,518,096 were commonly owned at the time of the invention pursuant to Chart II-B on page 800-16 of the MPEP August 2001 revision in order for the application to be allowed. Mr. Schnapf indicated he would fax the necessary statement to the Examiner..